


The book was found

A Theory Of Justice: Original Edition (Oxford Paperbacks 301 301)



Synopsis

Though the revised edition of *A Theory of Justice*, published in 1999, is the definitive statement of Rawls's view, so much of the extensive literature on Rawls's theory refers to the first edition. This reissue makes the first edition once again available for scholars and serious students of Rawls's work.

Book Information

Series: Oxford Paperbacks 301 301

Paperback: 624 pages

Publisher: Belknap Press (March 31, 2005)

Language: English

ISBN-10: 0674017722

ISBN-13: 978-0674017726

Product Dimensions: 6 x 1.6 x 9 inches

Shipping Weight: 1.9 pounds (View shipping rates and policies)

Average Customer Review: 4.0 out of 5 starsÂ Â See all reviewsÂ (102 customer reviews)

Best Sellers Rank: #47,856 in Books (See Top 100 in Books) #23 inÂ Books > Law > Business > Reference #36 inÂ Books > Law > Law Practice #101 inÂ Books > Politics & Social Sciences > Philosophy > Political

Customer Reviews

I'm astonished at the tenor and number of negative reviews "*A Theory of Justice*" has garnered from the right. This is especially surprising because Rawls shares with American conservatives one fundamental principle: the inviolability of the individual. A "*Theory of Justice*" is a technical work aimed at professional philosophers, political scientists, and constitutional law specialists.

Nevertheless, the book is understandable by laymen, provided it is read as what it is, i.e. a technical work of moral philosophy and not as a political agenda. Rawls's simple and plain style also makes this book a relatively easy read. I suspect that the rejection of Rawls by even the more thoughtful conservatives stems from a serious misunderstanding of utilitarianism, which Rawls savagely attacks from the start. Utilitarianism is the moral principle that the TOTAL welfare of a society is the highest value. In practice, the only measure of total welfare the government has is GDP, so that's what we maximize: GDP. This makes utilitarianism attractive to laissez-faire capitalist philosophies, and because Rawls attacks utilitarianism, both the left and the right imagine he is attacking markets, industry, and capitalism. The left have made him their angel, and so the right their demon. Rawls

makes no attack on capitalism, only on utilitarianism. He asserts the inviolability of the individual as society's primary moral principle and demonstrates that this is incompatible with utilitarianism. For example, under utilitarianism, it makes sense to take Bob's heart, give it to Stan, and to give his lungs to John. You've saved two lives by sacrificing one, so society is on the whole better off with two members (Stan and John) rather than just one (Bob).

This is one of the most important books on social philosophy written in the last century. As the other mis-informed reviews illustrate, Rawls requires careful reading and a conviction to work through his arguments. Basically, Rawls tries to argue for a theory of Justice based on non-utilitarian principles. How can we have a Just Society that preserves individual rights and at the same time functions above the level of anarchy? Tilting too far one way results in a Communistic state that places the group above the individual. Tilting too far the other way results in a state that is a "war of all against all". Rawls proposes that we arrive at a conception of Justice using minimal assumptions. He uses something called the "Veil of Ignorance" to derive his principles of Justice. This "Veil of Ignorance" assumes we would act in our own self-interest, but we don't know where in society we would end up. Given these two principles, people act in their own self-interest but not knowing what place they might occupy in society, Rawls argues that we would come up with two principles of Justice; 1) each person has the most extensive basic liberties that are compatible for everyone having these liberties, and 2) social inequalities will be arranged so that they benefit everyone and such that we all have equal access to beneficial social positions. (Some reviews here apparently feel that Rawls was trying to describe an historical situation with the Veil of Ignorance. I would suggest that they actually read Rawls.) What Rawls is arguing is that taking a very minimal assumption about human nature (we rationally act in our own self interest) and assuming that no one knows his or her eventual social position, we will come up with these two principles of Justice (Justice as Fairness).

Surely, *A Theory of Justice* is among the most important and influential texts in contemporary philosophy. And it is, of course, the central text in contemporary political philosophy. Want just a few reasons to think this is an important text that you ought to read? Here you go: Rawls develops and defends a new theory of justice, he provides a new way to extend some of the basic ideas in the social contract tradition, his text was crucial in resurrecting Kantian moral theory, his work has helped to bring constructivist meta-ethical positions back into prominence, the book develops some new and influential criticisms of utilitarianism, and it includes an explication of the method of reflective equilibrium and demonstrates how it can be applied in moral theory, etc. This is a long,

intricate, and densely argued book, and there's no hope of summarizing even its main claims in this review. Consequently, I'll simply aim to give a very sketchy account of the structure of his main argument here. Rawls's theory is a theory of justice as it applies to the basic institutions of a single society. He calls his theory "justice as fairness." It is not that he thinks justice is simply fairness, or that a just society is a fair one. Rather, people choose principles of justice in a position that is supposed to be fair; their choices in this fair position determine the correct principles of justice. The principles of justice determine the nature of a just society; they apply to the basic structure of society--to its fundamental institutions.

[Download to continue reading...](#)

A Theory of Justice: Original Edition (Oxford Paperbacks 301 301) Wrongful Convictions and Miscarriages of Justice: Causes and Remedies in North American and European Criminal Justice Systems (Criminology and Justice Studies) The Big Book of Restorative Justice: Four Classic Justice & Peacebuilding Books in One Volume (Justice and Peacebuilding) Science, Development, and Violence: The Revolt against Modernity (Oxford India Paperbacks) Kanthapura (Oxford India Paperbacks) The Marriage of Heaven and Hell (Oxford Paperbacks) The Essential Writings of Mahatma Gandhi (Oxford India Paperbacks) Man-Eaters of Kumaon (Oxford India Paperbacks) Plumbing 301 301 Legal Forms and Agreements (...When You Need It in Writing!) Experimental Psychology (PSY 301 Introduction to Experimental Psychology) The Total Deer Hunter Manual (Field & Stream): 301 Hunting Skills You Need Manual of Patent Examining Procedure: 9th Ed. (Vol. 6): Original Ninth Edition (MPEP Original 9th Edition) (Volume 6) El Incal / The incal: Edicion integral con el color original / Integral Edition With Original Color (Spanish Edition) The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory (Oxford Handbooks) LA Bendicion Original / Original Blessing (Spanish Edition) Pure Pulp: TRUE DETECTIVE MYSTERIES VOL. 1: TWO COMPLETE ORIGINAL PULP MAGAZINE ISSUES FROM THE 1926 & 1930 - OVER 240 PAGES OF STORIES OF DETECTIVE MYSTERIES ... (PURE PULP - COMPLETE ORIGINAL MAGAZINES) Pure Pulp: FANTASTIC ADVENTURES VOL. 1: TWO COMPLETE ORIGINAL PULP MAGAZINE ISSUES FROM THE 1939 & 1940 - 250 PAGES OF PURE PULP SCIENCE FICTION (PURE PULP - COMPLETE ORIGINAL MAGAZINES) Pure Pulp: RAILROAD STORIES VOL. 1: TWO COMPLETE ORIGINAL ISSUES FROM THE 1935 & 1936 - OVER 300 PAGES OF STORIES OF THE IRON PIKE (PURE PULP - COMPLETE ORIGINAL MAGAZINES) Pure Pulp: WEIRD TALES 1: TWO COMPLETE ORIGINAL PULP MAGAZINES FROM THE 1930s (PURE PULP - COMPLETE ORIGINAL MAGAZINES)

[Dmca](#)